

REMARKS

Claims 1-62 are pending and are unamended. Reconsideration of the Amendment filed on March 3, 2005 is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned representative telephoned the Examiner on June 7, 2005 to request an explanation as to why the 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 Declaration filed on March 3, 2005 was found to be incomplete. The Examiner stated that no exhibits were attached to the Declaration. In response, it was explained that no exhibits were attached to the Declaration because the Declaration relied upon the same exhibits used in the previously filed Declaration, and that a statement on page 2 of the Declaration, repeated below for convenience, explained this fact:

All of the exhibits referred to above are identical to the exhibits submitted in the "Declaration of Prior Invention..." filed on July 14, 2004. The exhibits in that Declaration are incorporated by reference herein and thus no additional copies of any of the Exhibits are enclosed.

The Examiner stated that he inadvertently overlooked that sentence. Applicants' undersigned representative requested that the Examiner *sua sponte* withdraw the June 2, 2005 Office Action and formally review the Amendment filed March 3, 2005, but the Examiner requested instead that a formal response be filed pointing out the highlighted statement below and requesting formal review of the Amendment. Accordingly, this Response is being filed to satisfy that request.

Respectfully submitted,

STEVEN A. BOVE et al.

June 16, 2005
(Date)

By: Clark Jablon

CLARK A. JABLON
Registration No. 35,039
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
One Commerce Square
2005 Market Street - Suite 2200
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7086
Direct Dial: (215) 965-1293
Facsimile: (215) 965-1210